SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		Cara Na. 20 av. 5740
LORIE GUILLEN,	A	Case No. 20-cv-5740
Plai	ntiff,	<b>COMPLAINT</b>
-against-		PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY
CHANDAN SUBARNA CORP. and CH. SENGUPTA, Individually.	ANDAN K	
•	endants.	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff, LORIE GUILLEN, by his attorneys, SEKENDIZ LAW FIRM P.C., upon information and belief, complains as follows:

### **NATURE OF THE CASE**

1. Plaintiff brings this action charging that Defendants violated the <u>Fair Labor Standards Act</u> of 1938, as amended (29 U.S.C. §201 et seq.), hereinafter "FSLA" or "the Act", to recover unpaid overtime wages, and an additional amount as liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and to remedy violations of state common law based upon the pendent jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to Gibb, 38 U.S. 715 (1966), and 28 U.S.C. §1367; N.Y. Lab. Law§ 2 and 651; N.Y. Lab. Law§ 190 et seq; NYLL §195(1) and NYLL 195(3); and seeks to recover overtime wages, punitive damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff overtime.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court is proper under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3), 29 U.S.C. § 626(c), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 3. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the claims of Plaintiff brought under state law

- pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 4. Jurisdiction of this action is also conferred upon the court by § 16(b) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §216 (b)). The Court also has jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §2617; 28 U.S.C. §1331, §1343 and pendent jurisdiction thereto.
- 5. Jurisdiction is also conferred upon this Court by 28 U.S.C. Sect. 1331; and 28 U.S.C. Sect. 1343. 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b) ("FLSA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1337 (interstate commerce). Supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims is conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (a).
- 6. This action involves questions of federal law. 28 U.S.C. § 1331
- 7. Venue is proper in this district based upon Defendants' principal place of business within the Southern District of New York. 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).

### **PARTIES**

- 8. Plaintiff LORI GUILLEN, ("PLAINTIFF") is a resident of the County of Bronx and State of New York.
- 9. Defendant CHANDAN SUBARNA CORP. (Hereinafter also referred to as "CHANDAN" is a domestic business corporation duly existing pursuant to, and by virtue of, the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business located at 39-46 65th Place Woodside, New York, 11377.
  - Defendant "CHANDAN" operates a Donkin Donut at 5501 Broadway Bronx, NY 10463-5202.
  - 11. Defendant "CHANDAN" operates a Donkin Donut at 193 W 237th St, The Bronx, NY 10463

- 12. Defendant CHANDAN K SENGUPTA is a principal/shareholder/owner of Corporate Defendant "CHANDAN" He exercise control over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment.
- 13. Defendant CHANDAN K SENGUPTA exercised his power to (a) fire and hire, (b) determine rate and method of pay, (c) set employee schedules over the Plaintiff and other employees.
- 14. All defendants jointly employed Plaintiff within the meaning of FLSA and New York Labor Laws.

### MATERIAL FACTS CONCERNING PLAINTIFF'S UNPAID OVERTIME CLAIMS

- 15. Plaintiff started working for defendants as a "crew member" on or about March 1, 2020.
- 16. Plaintiff's pay rate was \$15.00 an hour.
- 17. Plaintiff was constructively discharged from her position on or about May 1, 2020.
- 18. Plaintiff's job responsibilities included being a cahier, taking orders from the customers and preparing orders.
- 19. From the beginning of her employment up until her constructive discharge, Plaintiff worked eight to ninety (80 to 90) hours a week and seven (7) days a week.
- 20. Upon information and belief Plaintiff only took 2 (two) days of during her employment with the defendants.
- 21. Plaintiff is not an exempt employee under FLSA and New York Labor Laws.
- 22. At all times relevant to this complaint, defendants CHANDAN SUBARNA CORP. and CHANDAN K SENGUPTA, Individually. maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing any overtime compensation required by Federal and State Law and Regulations.

3

- 23. Although defendants maintained a punch in and punch out system, they manipulated the hours by reducing them on an ongoing basis.
- 24. Plaintiff's daily work hours ranged from 4:00AM to 7:00PM and from 6:30AM to 6:30PM
  - 25. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff the required "spread of hours" pay for any day in which they had to work over 10 hours a day.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendants, CHANDAN SUBARNA CORP. and CHANDAN K SENGUPTA employed the policy and practice of disguising Plaintiff's actual hours worked in payroll records to dodge their obligations to pay Plaintiff his earned overtime compensation.
- 27. Upon information and belief, defendants CHANDAN SUBARNA CORP. and CHANDAN K SENGUPTA, failed to provide notification, either in the form of posted notices, or other means, was given to Plaintiff regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 28. Plaintiff now bring this action to recover damages, including but not limited to, overtime premiums, liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §207 NYCRR Labor Section 138 et seq. Title 12 NYCRR 142-2.2. N.Y. Lab. Law§ 190 et seq. N.Y. Lab. Law§ 663. NYLL §195(1) and Title 12 NYCRR 142) (Spread of Hours)
- 29. Defendants willfully violated Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended (29 U.S.C. §201 et seq.),
- 30. As a result of the above Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of the jurisdiction of all lower courts.
- 31. As Defendants conduct has been willful, outrageous, done with full knowledge of the law, and malicious, Plaintiff also demands punitive damages against Defendants.
- 32. Defendants never paid Plaintiff for any overtime hours worked.

- 33. Defendants' actions created an environment that no reasonable person would tolerate.
- 34. Defendants all separately and jointly violated the FLSA by not paying Plaintiff for overtime work ands tips as required by the Act.
- 35. Defendants violated the laws of the State of New York by not paying Plaintiff for overtime work as required by the laws of the State of New York.
- 36. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Corporate Defendants had gross revenue in excess of \$500,000.
- 37. Upon information and belief Corporate Defendants had twenty five employees.

### AS A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR VIOLATIONS UNDER THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 38. Plaintiff repeat and reallege each and every allegation made in the above paragraphs of this complaint as if same were set forth herein fully at length.
- 39. Defendants willfully employed Plaintiff in the afore-mentioned enterprise for work weeks longer than 40 hours and failed to compensate Plaintiff for his employment in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a rate of at least one and one-half times the rate at which she was employed.
- 40. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff overtime pay in accordance with the Act, was a direct violation of the Act, specifically 29 U.S.C. §207.
- 41. As a result of the underpayment of wages alleged in this Complaint, Plaintiff has been damaged in at least an amount equal to such underpayment of wages, and Defendants are therefore indebted to Plaintiff for back wages.
- 42. Defendants CHANDAN SUBARNA CORP. and CHANDAN K SENGUPTA violated above

5

provisions.

# AS A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF NEW YORK WAGE AND HOUR LAW and VIOLATION OF Title 12 NYCRR Section 142-2.2, OVERTIME RATE

- 43. Plaintiff repeat and reallege each and every allegation made in the above paragraphs of this complaint as if same were set forth herein fully at length.
- 44. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants within the meaning of New York Wage Regulations, Specifically NYCRR Labor Section 138 et seq.
- 45. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff a premium for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 46. Defendants violated Plaintiff's rights to overtime pay under Title 12 NYCRR 142-2.2.
- 47. Defendants also failed to pay Plaintiff a premium/additional amount for hours worked in excess of 10 hours per day.
- 48. Under New York State law, an employee is entitled to an extra hour's wages for any day when the employee's "spread of hours" exceeds 10 hours (12 NYCRR § 142-2.4).
- 49. Defendants also violated New York's Labor law including but not limited to Labor Law 12 NYCRR § 142-2; §§ 198, 162, 663 et seq.
  - 50. Defendants CHANDAN SUBARNA CORP. and CHANDAN K SENGUPTA violated above provisions.

## AS A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

- 51. Plaintiff repeats and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law§ 190 et seq. and regulations of the New York State Department of Labor.

- 53. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff in a timely fashion, as required by Article 6 of the New York Labor Law.
- 54. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law§ 663.
- 55. Defendants failure to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law§ 663.
- 56. Defendants failure to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law§ 663.
- 57. Defendants CHANDAN SUBARNA CORP. and CHANDAN K SENGUPTA violated above sections.

### AS A FOURTH VIOLATION OF SPREAD OF HOURS UNDER NEW YORK LAW.

- 58. Plaintiff repeats and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff his earned Spread of Hours.
- 60. New York's spread of hours law provides that hourly, nonexempt employees whose workday begins and ends more than 10 hours apart are owed an extra hour of pay, known as a Spread of Hour rate as follows: "§ 142-2.4 Additional rate for split shift and spread of hours. An employee shall receive one hour's pay at the basic minimum hourly wage rate, in addition to the minimum wage required in this Part for any day in which: (a) the spread of hours exceeds 10 hours; or (b) there is a split shift; or (c) both situations occur."
- 61. Defendants failure to pay Plaintiff his spread of hours was willful.
- 62. Defendants violated the section § 142-2.4.
- 63. Defendants CHANDAN SUBARNA CORP. and CHANDAN K SENGUPTA violated this

section

### **JURY DEMAND**

64..Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests a judgment against the Defendants:

- A. Declaring that the Defendants engaged in unlawful employment practices prohibited by the FLSA, NYCRR, and NYLL, for failing to pay Plaintiff's due overtime wages, spread of hours;;
- B. Awarding Plaintiff punitive damages;
- C. Awarding Plaintiff attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in the prosecution of the action;
- D. Declaring that Defendants have violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff.
- E. Declaring that Defendants have violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff's and the FLSA compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- F. Declaring that Defendants violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful as to Plaintiff.
- G. Awarding Plaintiff damages for the amount of unpaid overtime wages, spread of hours, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;
- H. Awarding Plaintiff and the FLSA liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of his

damages for the amount of unpaid overtime wages, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

- I. Declaring that Defendants have violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff;
- J. Declaring that Defendants have violated the Spread of Hours Wage Order of the New York
   Commission of Labor as to Plaintiff;
- K. Declaring that Defendants violations of the New York Labor Law and Spread of Hours Wage Order were willful as to Plaintiff;
- L. Awarding Plaintiff damages for the amount of unpaid overtime wages, damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages, as well as awarding spread of hours pay under the NYLL as applicable;
- M. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of spread of hours pay, and overtime compensation shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable;
- N. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;
- O. Awarding Plaintiff, the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorney's fees;
- P. Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and
- Q. All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper

R. Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable, just and proper to remedy the Defendants unlawful employment practices.

Dated: New York, New York July 23, 2020

> SEKENDIZ LAW FIRM P.C., ATTORNEYS AT LAW

By: s/Ismail S. Sekendiz/
Ismail S. Sekendiz, Esq. (IS-0509)

Attorneys for Plaintiff
45 Broadway Suite: 1420
New York, New York 10006

(212) 380-8087

Email: isinan@hotmail.com